

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,882	09/22/2003		Nathaniel Tue Tran	Biomarker	Biomarker 1160	
45136	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
NATHANI		TRAN	SISSON, BRADLEY L			
42065 ZEVO DRIVE SUITE #9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TEMECUL	TEMECULA, CA 92590			1634		
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/667,882	TRAN, NATHANIEL TUE						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ly 2006 and 06 September 2005.							
•	· _							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 21-45 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-45</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/667,882

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The substitute specification filed 06 September 2005 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: The substitute specification has been found to contain new matter. A review of the substitute specification has been found to contain numerous instances where phrases, terms, sentences and entire paragraphs have been added to the specification. Such additions to the disclosure where they are not correcting a typographical error, or are not bringing in disclosure found in a document incorporated by reference, are deemed to constitute new matter. Accordingly, the substitute specification has not been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112- New Matter

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 21-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The instant application was filed with original claims 1-20, however, all original claims have been canceled and new claims 21-45 have been added. The papers filed with the amendment have not been found to comprise a statement that no new matter ahs been added to

Application/Control Number: 10/667,882

Art Unit: 1634

the disclosure and said papers have not been found to disclosure where support for each of the new claims, as well as for each of the statements added to the substitute specification is to be found in the original disclosure. As set forth in MPEP 714.02 [R-3]: "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP § 2163.06." (Emphasis added.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claim.
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 42 is indefinite with respect to just what constitutes the metes and bounds of "heavy isotopes." Claims 43-45, which depend from claim 42, fail to overcome this issue and are similarly rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,882 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

8. Claims 21, 23, 24, 25, 27-29, 31-35, and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,888,274 (Radding et al.).

9. Radding et al., disclose conducting binding assays with nucleic acids that have been arranged in an array format as a result of electrophoresis and blotting onto a filter. The arrays of nucleic acids are then probed with multiple probes, one of which is tritium-labeled protein.

Radding et al., teach explicitly of having multiple arrays, their being probed, and aligning the results, which are then compared, and quantitated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 21-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,888,274 (Radding et al.) in view of US Patent 4,865,967 A (Shiraishi et al.).
- 13. See above for the basis of the rejection as it pertains to the disclosure of Radding et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,882

Art Unit: 1634

- 14. Radding et al., does not disclose the use of neutrons.
- 15. Shiraishi et al., column 7, bridging to column 8, discloses the preparation of any of a variety of radioactive probes. Specifically identified is the use of neutrons as a source of radioactivity.
- 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the use of one radioactive material as disclosed by Radding et al., with that of Shiraishi et al., as Shiraishi et al., teaches that they are all interchangeable, so long as the nucleus radiates radiation. In view of the detailed teachings of the prior art, said ordinary artisan would have been both amply motivated and would have had a most reasonable expectation of success.
- 17. For the above reasons, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, claims 21-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,888,274 (Radding et al.) in view of US Patent 4,865,967 A (Shiraishi et al.).

Conclusion

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,882 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

B. & Lison

BLS